

A \hbar -adic valuation property of universal R -matrices

Benjamin Enriquez[†] and Gilles Halbout[‡]

Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée de Strasbourg

UMR 7501 de l'Université Louis Pasteur et du CNRS

7, rue R. Descartes F-67084 Strasbourg

[†] e-mail: enriquez@math.u-strasbg.fr

[‡] e-mail: halbout@math.u-strasbg.fr

May 27, 2002

Abstract

We prove that if $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a quasitriangular QUE algebra with universal R -matrix R , and $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(G^*)$ is the quantized function algebra sitting inside $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$, then $\hbar \log(R)$ belongs to the tensor square $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(G^*) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(G^*)$. This gives another proof of the results of Gavarini and Halbout, saying that R normalizes $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(G^*) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(G^*)$ and therefore induces a braiding of the formal group G^* (in the sense of Weinstein and Xu, or Reshetikhin).

§ 0 Introduction

Let (\mathfrak{g}, r) be a finite-dimensional quasitriangular Lie bialgebra over a field k of characteristic 0, and let $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), R)$ be a quasitriangular quantization of (\mathfrak{g}, r) . Recall that this means that (see [Dr]):

(1) $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -], \delta)$ is a Lie bialgebra, $r \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is a solution of the classical Yang-Baxter equation, and the cobracket $\delta : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g})$ of \mathfrak{g} is given by $\delta(x) = [x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x, r]$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$;

(2) $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), m, \Delta)$ is a quantized universal enveloping (QUE) algebra (m is the product of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$, Δ is its coproduct), $R \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2}$ satisfies the quasitriangular identities:

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{id})(R) = R^{13}R^{23}, \quad (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(R) = R^{13}R^{12},$$

$$(\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)(R) = (\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})(R) = 1, \quad \Delta^{\text{op}} = \text{Ad}(R) \circ \Delta,$$

where $\text{Ad}(R)(x) = RxR^{-1}$ for $x \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes}2}$, and

$$\left(\frac{1}{\hbar}(R-1) \bmod \hbar \right) = r; \quad (0.1)$$

here $\widehat{\otimes}$ denotes the \hbar -adically completed tensor product, the map $x \mapsto (x \bmod \hbar)$ is the canonical projection

$$U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes}2} \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes}2} \otimes_{k[[\hbar]]} k = U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2},$$

and r is viewed as an element of $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2}$. For $n \geq 0$, let us denote by $\delta_n : U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes}n}$ the map

$$\delta_n = (\text{id} - \eta \circ \varepsilon)^{\otimes n} \circ \Delta^{(n)},$$

where $\Delta^{(n)} : U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes}n}$ is the n -th fold coproduct and η, ε are the unit and counit maps of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$. Set

$$\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(G^*) = \{x \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \forall n \geq 0, \delta_n(x) \in \hbar^n U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes}n}\}.$$

Then a classical result (see [Dr, Ga]) says that $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(G^*)$ is a quantization of the Hopf-Poisson algebra $\mathcal{O}(G^*)$ of functions on the formal group corresponding to \mathfrak{g}^* (so $\mathcal{O}(G^*) = U(\mathfrak{g}^*)^*$).

According to (0.1), we have $R \in 1 + \hbar U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes}2}$. So $\log(R) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n \frac{(R-1)^n}{n}$

is a well-defined element of $\hbar U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes}2}$.

Theorem 0.1. *Set $\rho = \frac{1}{\hbar} \log(R)$. Then ρ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(G^*)^{\widehat{\otimes}2}$ (this is a sub-algebra of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes}2}$). If \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar} is the augmentation ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(G^*)$, we even have $\rho \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar})^{\widehat{\otimes}2}$.*

Here $\widehat{\otimes}$ denotes the completed tensor product of formal series algebras. As a corollary, we obtain a result of [GH].

Corollary 0.2. *([GH]) The R -matrix R normalizes $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(G^*)^{\widehat{\otimes}2}$, in other words, $\text{Ad}(R) : U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes}2} \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes}2}$ restricts to an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(G^*)^{\widehat{\otimes}2}$.*

Gavarini and one of us (see [GH]) derive from this result that $\text{Ad}(R)|_{\hbar=0}$, the reduction mod \hbar of $\text{Ad}(R)$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(G^*) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(G^*)$, satisfying the braiding identities of [WX] or [Re]. In a forthcoming paper, we plan to prove that this braiding coincides with the braiding defined by Weinstein and Xu in [WX].

This paper is organized as follows: we prove Theorem 0.1 in Section 2.b. This proof uses a combinatorial result on universal Lie algebras $F_{(n,p)}$, which is stated and proved in Section 1. In Section 3, we prove Corollary 0.2 using Theorem 0.1.

§ 1 A theorem on the universal Lie algebra $F_{(n,p)}$

In this section, we introduce a Lie algebra $F_{(n,p)}$ (Section 1.a). This Lie algebra is universal for the following situation: A is an algebra, $\rho \in A^{\otimes 2}$, and we consider elements $\rho^{i,j} \in A^{\otimes(n+p)}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $j \in \{n+1, \dots, n+p\}$. We construct elements $\delta_{(n,p)}$ in a completion of $F_{(n,p)}$, using the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff series (Section 1.b). The main result is Theorem 1.2 on the valuation (for the total degree) of $\delta_{(n,p)}$. It will be used in Section 2 to prove Theorem 0.1 on the \hbar -adic valuation of universal R -matrices.

Let n, p be integers ≥ 1 .

- a - The Lie algebra $F_{(n,p)}$

Let us denote by $\text{Free}_{(n,p)}$ the free Lie algebra with generators $\tilde{x}_{i,j}$, where $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, p\}$. Let us denote by $F_{(n,p)}$ the quotient of $\text{Free}_{(n,p)}$ by the relations

$$[\tilde{x}_{i,j}, \tilde{x}_{i',j'}] = 0 \text{ when } i \neq i' \text{ and } j \neq j'.$$

We denote by $x_{i,j}$ the image of $\tilde{x}_{i,j}$ in $F_{(n,p)}$. The Lie algebra $F_{(n,p)}$ is graded by $\bigoplus_{(i,j) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, p\}} \mathbb{N}\epsilon_{(i,j)}$ where $\deg(\tilde{x}_{i,j}) = \epsilon_{(i,j)}$. We call this grading the *fine degree*. $F_{(n,p)}$ has also a \mathbb{N} -grading which we call the *total degree*; the total degree of $\tilde{x}_{i,j}$ is 1. These gradings induce gradings on $F_{(n,p)}$.

For $A = F_{(n,p)}$ or $\widehat{F}_{(n,p)}$ and $\underline{k} \in \bigoplus_{(i,j)} \mathbb{N}\epsilon_{(i,j)}$ (resp., $k \in \mathbb{N}$), we denote by $A_{\underline{k}}$ (resp., A_k) the fine degree \underline{k} part (resp., total degree k part) of A . We denote by \widehat{A} the completion of A with respect to the total degree. So

$$\widehat{A} = \prod_{k \geq 0} A_k.$$

- b - The Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff series

If N is an integer, let us denote by Free_N the free Lie algebra with generators $\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_N$ and by $\widehat{\text{Free}}_N$ its completion with respect to the total degree. There exists a series

$$\tilde{x}_1 \star \dots \star \tilde{x}_N \in \widehat{\text{Free}}_N,$$

such that the identity

$$\exp(\tilde{x}_1 \star \dots \star \tilde{x}_N) = \exp(\tilde{x}_1) \cdots \exp(\tilde{x}_N)$$

holds in the completion $\widehat{\text{Freealg}}_N$ of the free associative algebra Freealg_N with generators $\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_N$, with respect to the total degree (see [Bo]).

Let \mathfrak{g} be a \mathbb{N} -graded Lie algebra, so $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_n$ and let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be its completion; so $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_n$. If x_1, \dots, x_n are elements of \mathfrak{g} , with valuation > 0 , then there is a

unique continuous Lie algebra morphism $\pi : \widehat{\text{Free}}_N \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, taking each \widetilde{x}_i to x_i . We then define

$$x_1 \star \cdots \star x_N := \pi(\widetilde{x}_1 \star \cdots \star \widetilde{x}_N).$$

- c - The elements $\delta_{(n,p)}$ of $\widehat{F}_{(n,p)}$

Definition 1.1. We set

$$\delta_{(n,p)} = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^p \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n, \\ 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_l \leq p}} (-1)^{n+p-k-l} \\ (x_{i_1, j_1} \star x_{i_1, j_2} \star \cdots \star x_{i_1, j_l}) \star (x_{i_2, j_1} \star \cdots \star x_{i_2, j_l}) \star \cdots \star (x_{i_k, j_1} \star \cdots \star x_{i_k, j_l}).$$

According to Section 1-b, the element $\delta_{(n,p)}$ belongs to $\widehat{F}_{(n,p)}$.

Theorem 1.2. The valuation of $\delta_{(n,p)}$ for the total degree of $\widehat{F}_{(n,p)}$ is $\geq n + p - 1$.

PROOF. For $\underline{k} \in \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, p\}} \mathbb{N}\mathcal{E}_{(i,j)}$, we denote by $\delta_{(n,p), \underline{k}}$ the fine degree \underline{k} component of $\delta_{(n,p)}$. Define the support of \underline{k} , $\text{supp}(\underline{k})$ as the set of all pairs (i, j) such that $(i, j) \neq 0$. If S is a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, p\}$, we call the i -th column of S the intersection of S with the i -th column $\{i\} \times \{1, \dots, p\}$, and the j -th line of S its intersection with the j -th line $\{1, \dots, n\} \times \{j\}$. If S_1 is a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, we denote by \bar{S}_1 its complement $\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus S_1$; if S_2 is a subset of $\{1, \dots, p\}$, we denote by \bar{S}_2 its complement $\{1, \dots, p\} \setminus S_2$. We will show:

Proposition 1.3. For each $\underline{k} \in \bigoplus_{(i,j)} \mathbb{N}\mathcal{E}_{(i,j)}$, we have $\delta_{(n,p), \underline{k}} = 0$ unless $S = \text{supp}(\underline{k})$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) each column of S is nonempty;
- (2) each line of S is nonempty;
- (3) if $S_1 \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $S_2 \subset \{1, \dots, p\}$ are proper subsets (i.e., they are non-empty as well as their complements) then $S \not\subset (S_1 \times S_2) \cup (\bar{S}_1 \times \bar{S}_2)$.

Proposition 1.4. If S is a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, p\}$ satisfying conditions (1), (2) and (3) of Proposition 1.3, then $\text{card}(S) \geq n + p - 1$.

Theorem 1.2 now follows from these propositions and the fact that the total degree of an element of $\widehat{\text{Free}}_{(n,p)}$ of degree \underline{k} is $\geq \text{card}(\text{supp}(\underline{k}))$. \square

- d - PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.3.

For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, there is a unique continuous Lie algebra morphism

$$\lambda_i : \widehat{F}_{n,p} \rightarrow \widehat{F}_{n-1,p}$$

$$x_{i',j'} \mapsto \begin{cases} x_{i',j'} & \text{if } i' < i \\ 0 & \text{if } i' = i \\ x_{i'-1,j'} & \text{if } i' > i. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, for $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$, there is a unique continuous Lie algebra morphism

$$\rho_j : \widehat{F}_{n,p} \rightarrow \widehat{F}_{n,p-1}$$

$$x_{i',j'} \mapsto \begin{cases} x_{i',j'} & \text{if } j' < j \\ 0 & \text{if } j' = j \\ x_{i',j'-1} & \text{if } j' > j. \end{cases}$$

The proof will essentially consist in the following Lemmas 1.5, 1.6, and 1.7.

Lemma 1.5. *For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have $\lambda_i(\delta_{(n,p)}) = 0$.*

For each $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$, we have $\rho_j(\delta_{(n,p)}) = 0$.

Lemma 1.6. *If $\alpha \in \widehat{F}_{(n,p)}$ is such that $\lambda_i(\alpha) = 0$, then the homogeneous component $\alpha_{\underline{k}}$ of α satisfies $\alpha_{\underline{k}} = 0$, unless the i -th line of $\text{supp}(\underline{k})$ is nonempty. In the same way, if $\rho_j(\alpha) = 0$, then $\alpha_{\underline{k}} = 0$ unless the j -th line of $\text{supp}(\underline{k})$ is nonempty.*

After Lemmas 1.5 and 1.6 are proved, we know that $\delta_{(n,p),\underline{k}} = 0$ unless each line and each column of $S = \text{supp}(\underline{k})$ is nonempty. This proves that S should satisfy conditions (1) and (2). The fact that S satisfies condition (3) will follow from:

Lemma 1.7. *Let $\alpha \in \widehat{F}_{(n,p)}$ be homogeneous of degree \underline{k} . Assume that each line and each column of $\text{supp}(\underline{k})$ is nonempty. Then $\alpha = 0$ unless \underline{k} satisfies conditions (3) of Proposition 1.3.*

So Lemmas 1.5, 1.6, and 1.7 imply Proposition 1.3. □

We now prove Lemmas 1.5, 1.6, and 1.7:

PROOF OF LEMMA 1.5. If $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $J \subset \{1, \dots, p\}$, let us set $k = \text{card}(I)$, $l = \text{card}(J)$, and $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$, $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$ where $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ and $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_l \leq p$. Let us then set

$$\delta_{(n,p)}^{I,J} = (-1)^{n+p-k-l} x_{i_1,j_1} \star x_{i_1,j_2} \star \dots \star x_{i_k,j_l}.$$

Then we have:

$$\delta_{(n,p)} = \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ J \subset \{1, \dots, p\}}} \delta_{(n,p)}^{I,J}.$$

Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and let us prove that $\lambda_i(\delta_{(n,p)}) = 0$: we write

$$\delta_{(n,p)} = \sum_{\substack{I' \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}, \\ J \subset \{1, \dots, p\}}} \delta_{(n,p)}^{I', J} + \delta_{(n,p)}^{I' \cup \{i\}, J},$$

and we now show that for any (I', J) ,

$$\lambda_i \left(\delta_{(n,p)}^{I', J} + \delta_{(n,p)}^{I' \cup \{i\}, J} \right) = 0.$$

This follows from the following facts:

- if $\varphi : \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}'$ is a morphism of complete graded Lie algebras, and x_1, \dots, x_N are elements of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}'$ of positive valuation, then

$$\varphi(x_1) \star \dots \star \varphi(x_N) = \varphi(x_1 \star \dots \star x_N);$$

- we have the identity $x_1 \star \dots \star x_k \star 0 \star x_{k+1} \star \dots \star x_N = x_1 \star \dots \star x_N$.

The proof of $\rho_j(\delta_{(n,p)}) = 0$ is similar: we write

$$\delta_{(n,p)} = \sum_{\substack{I' \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ J' \subset \{1, \dots, p\} \setminus \{j\}}} \delta_{(n,p)}^{I', J' \cup \{j\}} + \delta_{(n,p)}^{I', J'},$$

and then show that

$$\rho_j \left(\delta_{(n,p)}^{I', J' \cup \{j\}} + \delta_{(n,p)}^{I', J'} \right) = 0$$

for any pair (I, J') using the same arguments. \square

PROOF OF LEMMA 1.6. It will be enough to prove Lemma 1.6 when $i = n$. Let α be an element of $F_{n,p}$. Set

$$\alpha = \sum_{\substack{\underline{k} \in \oplus_{(i,j)} \mathbb{N} \varepsilon_{(i,j)}}} \alpha_{\underline{k}},$$

where $\alpha_{\underline{k}}$ has degree \underline{k} . Assume that $\lambda_n(\alpha) = 0$, we want to show that $\alpha_{\underline{k}} = 0$ unless the n -th column of $\text{supp}(\underline{k})$ is nonempty. We will now write:

$$\alpha = \sum_{\substack{\underline{k} \mid n\text{-th column}(\text{supp}(\underline{k})) \neq \emptyset} \alpha_{\underline{k}} + \sum_{\substack{\underline{k} \mid n\text{-th column}(\text{supp}(\underline{k})) = \emptyset} \alpha_{\underline{k}}.$$

Now $\lambda_n(\alpha_{\underline{k}}) = 0$ as soon as the n -th column of $\text{supp}(\underline{k})$ is nonempty, so we get

$$\sum_{\substack{\underline{k} \mid n\text{-th column}(\text{supp}(\underline{k})) = \emptyset} \lambda_n(\alpha_{\underline{k}}) = 0 \quad (\text{identity in } F_{(n-1,p)}). \quad (1.2)$$

There is a unique Lie algebra morphism $\iota : F_{n-1,p} \rightarrow F_{n,p}$, taking each $x_{i,j}$ to $x_{i,j}$. We have

$$\lambda_n \circ \iota = \text{id}_{F_{n-1,p}},$$

therefore ι is injective. Moreover, let \mathcal{F} be the Lie subalgebra of $F_{n,p}$ generated by the $x_{i,j}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. \mathcal{F} is the image of the Lie subalgebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} \subset \text{Free}_{(n,p)}$ generated by the $\widetilde{x}_{i,j}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ under the canonical projection $\text{Free}_{(n,p)} \rightarrow F_{(n,p)}$. Denote by $\widetilde{\iota} : \text{Free}_{(n-1,p)} \rightarrow \text{Free}_{(n,p)}$ the morphism taking each $\widetilde{x}_{i,j}$ to $\widetilde{x}_{i,j}$, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Free}_{(n-1,p)} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\iota}} & \widetilde{\mathcal{F}} & \hookrightarrow & \text{Free}_{(n,p)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F_{(n-1,p)} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{F} & \hookrightarrow & F_{(n,p)} \end{array}$$

commutes. Since the vertical arrows are onto and $\widetilde{\iota} : \text{Free}_{(n-1,p)} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is onto, $\iota : \text{Free}_{(n-1,p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is onto. So $\iota : \text{Free}_{(n-1,p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism. Now if the n -th column of $\text{supp}(\underline{k})$ is empty, we have $\alpha_{\underline{k}} \in \mathcal{F}$. Apply ι to identity (1.2), we get

$$\sum_{\underline{k} \mid n\text{-th column}(\text{supp}(\underline{k}))=\emptyset} \alpha_{\underline{k}} = 0 \quad (\text{identity in } F_{(n-1,p)}).$$

Separating homogeneous components, we get $\alpha_{\underline{k}} = 0$ for each \underline{k} , such that n -th column($\text{supp}(\underline{k})$) = \emptyset . \square

PROOF OF LEMMA 1.7. Let $\alpha \in F_{(n,p)}$ be of fine degree \underline{k} , and assume that \underline{k} satisfies (1), (2) but not (3). So we have proper subsets $S_1 \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $S_2 \subset \{1, \dots, p\}$ such that

$$\text{supp}(\underline{k}) \subset (S_1 \times S_2) \cup (\bar{S}_1 \times \bar{S}_2).$$

The rectangles $R = S_1 \times S_2$ and $R' = \bar{S}_1 \times \bar{S}_2$ are disjoint. Moreover, condition (1) (or condition (2)) implies that $\text{supp}(\underline{k}) \cap R$ and $\text{supp}(\underline{k}) \cap R'$ are both nonempty. Let $(k_{(i,j)})_{(i,j)}$ be the component of \underline{k} in the basis $(\mathcal{E}_{(i,j)})_{(i,j) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, p\}}$. Then $k_{(i,j)} \neq 0$ if and only if $(i, j) \in \text{supp}(\underline{k})$, so

$$\underline{k} = \sum_{(i,j) \in \text{supp}(\underline{k})} k_{(i,j)} \mathcal{E}_{(i,j)}.$$

Now there exists $\beta \in \text{Free}_{(n,p)}$ of fine degree \underline{k} , whose image under $\text{Free}_{(n,p)} \rightarrow F_{(n,p)}$ is α . Moreover, standard results on free Lie algebra (see [Bo]) imply that β has the following form: set $|\underline{k}| = \sum_{(i,j) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, p\}} k_{(i,j)}$, and say that a map $\mu : \{1, \dots, |\underline{k}|\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, p\}$ is a \underline{k} -map if for any $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, p\}$, $\text{card}(\mu^{-1}(i, j)) = k_{(i,j)}$. If μ is a \underline{k} -map, let us define \widetilde{x}_μ as the iterated Lie bracket

$$\widetilde{x}_\mu = \left[\dots \left[\left[\widetilde{x}_{\mu(1)}, \widetilde{x}_{\mu(2)} \right], \widetilde{x}_{\mu(3)} \right], \dots, \widetilde{x}_{\mu(|\underline{k}|)} \right].$$

Then β is a linear combination

$$\beta = \sum_{\mu \in \{\underline{k}\text{-maps}\}} \beta_{\mu} \tilde{x}_{\mu},$$

where the β_{μ} are scalars. Define x_{μ} as the image of \tilde{x}_{μ} under the map $\text{Free}_{(n,p)} \rightarrow F_{(n,p)}$. Then $\alpha = \sum_{\mu \in \{\underline{k}\text{-maps}\}} \beta_{\mu} x_{\mu}$. On the other hand, $x_{\mu} = 0$ if μ is any \underline{k} -map. Indeed

$$x_{\mu} = \left[\dots \left[\left[x_{\mu(1)}, x_{\mu(2)} \right], x_{\mu(3)} \right], \dots, x_{\mu(|\underline{k}|)} \right].$$

Then, since $R \cap R' = \emptyset$, $\text{supp}(\underline{k}) \cap R \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{supp}(\underline{k}) \cap R' \neq \emptyset$, there exists an integer $v \in \{1, \dots, |\underline{k}| - 1\}$, such that one of the following possibilities occurs:

- either $\mu(1), \dots, \mu(v) \in R$ and $\mu(v+1) \in R'$
- or $\mu(1), \dots, \mu(v) \in R'$ and $\mu(v+1) \in R$.

Now the bracket $[x_{\alpha}, x_{\beta}]$ vanishes when $\alpha \in R$ and $\beta \in R'$. It follows that in both cases, the bracket

$$\left[\dots \left[\left[x_{\mu(1)}, x_{\mu(2)} \right], x_{\mu(3)} \right], \dots, x_{\mu(v+1)} \right]$$

vanishes. Therefore $x_{\mu} = 0$, which implies $\alpha = 0$. \square

- e - PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.4.

The argument will be an induction over $n + p$. Assume that the proposition is proved when $n + p \leq N$ and let us prove it when $n + p = N + 1$. Let (n, p) be such that $n + p = N + 1$ and let S be as in the proposition. We may assume $n \geq p$. If each column of S has ≥ 2 elements, then

$$\text{card}(S) \geq n \cdot 2 \geq n + p - 1.$$

Each column of S has ≥ 1 element by assumption (1), so we can assume that S has a column with exactly 1 element. We may assume that this element is (n, p) . Let us now set $S' = S \cap (\{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, p\})$ and prove that S' satisfies the analogues (1'), (2') and (3') of the assumptions of the proposition, where (n, p) is replaced by $(n - 1, p)$:

(1') for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, the i -th lines of S and of S' coincide so the i -th line of S' is nonempty;

(2') for $j = 1, \dots, p - 1$, the j -th lines of S and of S' coincide, so the j -th line of S' is nonempty. Let us prove that the p -th line of S' is also nonempty. If not, we would have $(n\text{-th column of } S) \cup (p\text{-th line of } S) = \{(n, p)\}$, contradicting assumption (3) on S with $S_1 = \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ and $S_2 = \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$. Therefore all the lines of S' are nonempty;

(3') assume that there exist proper subsets $S'_1 \subset \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$, $S_2 \subset \{1, \dots, p\}$, (i.e., nonempty and with nonempty complement), such that

$$S' \subset (S'_1 \times S_2) \cup ((\{1, \dots, n - 1\} \setminus S'_1) \times (\{1, \dots, p\} \setminus S_2)).$$

Then if $p \in S_2$, let us set $S_1 = S_1 \cup \{n\}$, and if $p \in \{1, \dots, p\} \setminus S_2$, let us set $S_1 = S'_1$. Then in each case, since $S = S' \cup \{(n, p)\}$, we get

$$S \subset (S_1 \times S_2) \cup ((\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus S_1) \times (\{1, \dots, p\} \setminus S_2)),$$

thus contradiction the fact that S satisfies assumption (3). Therefore S' satisfies assumption (3').

Now S' satisfies the assumptions of the proposition, with (n, p) replaced with $(n-1, p)$. Since $(n-1) + p = N$, we may apply the induction hypothesis. So $\text{card}(S') \geq (n-1) + p - 1$. Now $\text{card}(S) = \text{card}(S') + 1$, so $\text{card}(S) \geq n + p - 1$. This proves the induction step. \square

§ 2 \hbar -adic valuation properties of universal R -matrices

In this section, we first identify a tensor product of QFSH (quantized formal series Hopf) algebras as a subspace of the tensor product of the corresponding QUE algebras (Proposition 2.1).

- a - Tensor product of QFSH algebras

Let H be a QUE algebra. The corresponding QFSH algebra is:

$$H' = \{x \in H \mid \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \delta_H^{(n)}(x) \in \hbar^n H^{\widehat{\otimes} n}\}$$

(where $\delta_H^{(n)} = (\text{id} - \eta \circ \varepsilon)^{\otimes n} \circ \Delta_H^{(n)}$). We express this condition as follows: set

$$\delta_H^{(\text{tot})} = \prod_{n \geq 0} \delta_H^{(n)} : H \rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} H^{\widehat{\otimes} n}.$$

Then

$$H' = \left(\delta_H^{(\text{tot})} \right)^{-1} \left(\prod_{n \geq 0} \hbar^n H^{\widehat{\otimes} n} \right).$$

In the same way, if K is another QUE algebra, we define

$$K' = \left(\delta_K^{(\text{tot})} \right)^{-1} \left(\prod_{n \geq 0} \hbar^n K^{\widehat{\otimes} n} \right).$$

Then $\delta_H^{(\text{tot})} \otimes \delta_K^{(\text{tot})}$ is a linear map $H \widehat{\otimes} K \rightarrow \prod_{n, p \geq 0} H^{\widehat{\otimes} n} \widehat{\otimes} K^{\widehat{\otimes} p}$.

Proposition 2.1. *The tensor product of QFSH algebras H' and K' is*

$$H' \widehat{\otimes} K' = \left(\delta_H^{(\text{tot})} \otimes \delta_K^{(\text{tot})} \right)^{-1} \left(\prod_{n, p \geq 0} \hbar^{n+p} H^{\widehat{\otimes} n} \widehat{\otimes} K^{\widehat{\otimes} p} \right).$$

PROOF. We will need the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2. *Let V_1, V_2, T_1, T_2 be vector spaces and let $\delta_1: V_1 \hookrightarrow T_1, \delta_2: V_2 \hookrightarrow T_2$ be injections. Let S_1, S_2 be vector subspaces of T_1, T_2 , and set $U_i = \delta_i^{-1}(S_i)$. Then*

$$U_1 \otimes U_2 = (\delta_1 \otimes \delta_2)^{-1}(S_1 \otimes S_2).$$

The proposition then follows because the maps $\delta_K^{(\text{tot})}$ and $\delta_H^{(\text{tot})}$ are injective. Indeed, x can be obtained from $\delta_H^{(\text{tot})}(x)$ by the formula:

$$x = \left(\delta_H^{(\text{tot})}(x) \right)_1 + \eta \left(\left(\delta_H^{(\text{tot})}(x) \right)_0 \right).$$

□

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.2. Let $W_1 = \text{Im}(\delta_1), W_2 = \text{Im}(\delta_2)$. Then $W_1 \otimes W_2 = \text{Im}(\delta_1 \otimes \delta_2)$. So

$$W_1 \subset T_1, \quad W_2 \subset T_2, \quad W_1 \otimes W_2 \subset T_1 \otimes T_2.$$

We should prove that if $W_1 \subset T_1, W_2 \subset T_2$, then the subspace $(W_1 \cap S_1) \otimes (W_2 \cap S_2) \subset W_1 \otimes W_2$ is equal to $(W_1 \otimes W_2) \cap (S_1 \otimes S_2)$. Identifying the spaces V_i with their images $W_i = \text{Im}(\delta_i)$ through the maps δ_i , we should prove the following statement:

Lemma 2.3. *Let T_1, T_2 be vector spaces, and let for each $i = 1, 2, W_i$ and S_i be vector subspaces of T_i . Then*

$$(W_1 \cap S_1) \otimes (W_2 \cap S_2) = (W_1 \otimes W_2) \cap (S_1 \otimes S_2).$$

Indeed, $U_1 \otimes U_2$ can be identified with $(W_1 \cap S_1) \otimes (W_2 \cap S_2)$ through $\delta_1 \otimes \delta_2$, whereas $(\delta_1 \otimes \delta_2)^{-1}(S_1 \otimes S_2)$ can be identified with $(W_1 \otimes W_2) \cap (S_1 \otimes S_2)$ through the same map. □

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.3. Introducing supplementary vector spaces \tilde{W}_i of $W_i \cap S_i$ in W_i and \tilde{S}_i of $W_i \cap S_i$ in S_i , we get

$$\begin{cases} W_i = (W_i \cap S_i) \oplus \tilde{W}_i \\ S_i = (W_i \cap S_i) \oplus \tilde{S}_i \end{cases}$$

$W_i + S_i = (W_i \cap S_i) \oplus \tilde{W}_i \oplus \tilde{S}_i$ so $(W_1 + S_1) \otimes (W_2 + S_2)$ is the direct sum of nine summands. The subspaces $W_1 \otimes W_2$ and $S_1 \otimes S_2$ are the sums

$$\begin{cases} W_1 \otimes W_2 = ((W_1 \cap S_1) \otimes (W_2 \cap S_2)) \oplus ((W_1 \cap S_1) \otimes \tilde{W}_2) \\ \quad \oplus (\tilde{W}_1 \otimes (W_2 \cap S_2)) \oplus (\tilde{W}_1 \otimes \tilde{W}_2) \\ S_1 \otimes S_2 = ((W_1 \cap S_1) \otimes (W_2 \cap S_2)) \oplus ((W_1 \cap S_1) \otimes \tilde{S}_2) \\ \quad \oplus (\tilde{S}_1 \otimes (W_2 \cap S_2)) \oplus (\tilde{S}_1 \otimes \tilde{S}_2), \end{cases}$$

the intersection of which is $(W_1 \cap S_1) \otimes (W_2 \cap S_2)$. \square

- b - PROOF OF THEOREM 0.1.

Let $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), R)$ be a quasitriangular QUE algebra. Set $\rho = \hbar \log(R)$. Then $\rho \in \hbar^2 U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$. For $x, y \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} n}[\hbar^{-1}]$, let us set

$$\{x, y\}_{\hbar} = \frac{1}{\hbar}[x, y].$$

Then $\{-, -\}$ restricts to a Lie bracket

$$\{-, -\} : \wedge^2 \left(\hbar^2 U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} k} \right) \rightarrow \hbar^2 U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} k}.$$

Its image is actually contained in $\hbar^3 U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} k}$. According to Proposition 2.1, the statement $\rho \in \mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(G^*)^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$ is equivalent to

$$\left(\delta_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})}^{(n)} \otimes \delta_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})}^{(p)} \right) (\rho) \in \hbar^{n+p} U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} (n+p)} \quad (2.3)$$

for any $n, p \geq 0$. Let us therefore prove this statement: for $a, b \in \hbar^2 U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} k}$, let us set

$$a \star_k b = a + b + \frac{1}{2} \{a, b\}_{\hbar} + \dots; \quad (2.4)$$

the series (2.4) makes sense because of the following fact: if $m \in \text{Free}_2$ is a Lie monomial of degree p in two free variables A, B , and $m(\{-, -\}_{\hbar}, a, b)$ is the image of m by the Lie algebra morphism $\text{Free}_2 \rightarrow \hbar^2 U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} k}$ defined by $A \mapsto a, B \mapsto b$, then

$$m(\{-, -\}_{\hbar}, a, b) \in \hbar^{p+1} U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} k}.$$

Recall that $\rho \in \hbar^2 U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} 3}$. The quasitriangular identities then imply that ρ satisfies

$$\left(\Delta_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})} \otimes \text{id} \right) (\rho) = \rho^{1,3} \star_3 \rho^{2,3}, \quad \left(\text{id} \otimes \Delta_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})} \right) (\rho) = \rho^{1,3} \star_3 \rho^{1,2}. \quad (2.5)$$

There is a unique Lie algebra morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{(n,p)} : \widehat{F}_{(n,p)} &\rightarrow \left(\hbar^2 U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} (n+p)}, \{-, -\}_{\hbar} \right), \\ x_{i,j} &\mapsto \rho^{i,n+j} \end{aligned}$$

for each $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, p\}$ (here $\rho^{i,n+j}$ is the image of ρ by the map

$$U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} 2} \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} (n+p)},$$

taking the first component of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$ to the i -th component, and the second component to the $(n+j)$ -th component). Then the identities (2.5) imply

$$\left(\delta_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})}^{(n)} \otimes \delta_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})}^{(p)} \right) (\rho) = \phi_{(n,p)} \left(\delta_{(n,p)} \right). \quad (2.6)$$

Let $\alpha \in \text{Free}_{(n,p)}$ be an element of total degree d . Then we have seen that $\phi_{(n,p)}(\alpha) \in \hbar^{d+1}U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes}(n+p)}$. Since $\delta_{(n,p)}$ has valuation $\geq n+p-1$, we get $\phi_{(n,p)}(\delta_{(n,p)}) \in \hbar^{n+p}U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes}(n+p)}$. According to (2.6), this implies identity (2.3). So we get $\rho \in \mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(G^*)^{\widehat{\otimes}2}$. Since we have $(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})(R) = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)(R) = 1$, we get $(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})(\rho) = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)(\rho) = 0$, therefore $\rho \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar})^{\widehat{\otimes}2}$. \square

§ 3 Proof of Corollary 0.2.

The space $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes}2}$ is a quantization of $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(G^*)^{\widehat{\otimes}2}$ is the corresponding QFSH algebra. Set $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$, let D^* be the corresponding formal group, and set $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{d}) = U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes}2}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*) = \mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(G^*)^{\widehat{\otimes}2}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*)$ the augmentation ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*)$. Then $\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar} \widehat{\otimes} 1$ and $1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}$ are subspaces of $\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*)$, so $\rho \in \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*)^2$. Corollary 0.2 will follow from the following proposition:

Proposition 3.1. *Let \mathfrak{d} be an arbitrary finite-dimensional Lie bialgebra, let $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{d})$ be a quantization of \mathfrak{d} , let $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*)$ be the QFSH subalgebra of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{d})$, and let $\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*)$ be the augmentation ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*)$. Let ρ be an arbitrary element of $\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*)^2$.*

(1) *the operation $\{-, -\}_{\hbar} : \wedge^2(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{d})[\hbar^{-1}]) \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{d})[\hbar^{-1}]$ restricts to*

$$\{-, -\}_{\hbar} : \wedge^2(\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*);$$

(2) *for any $k, l \geq 0$, we have*

$$\left\{ \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*)^k, \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*)^l \right\}_{\hbar} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*)^{k+l-1};$$

(3) $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*) = \lim_{\leftarrow k} \left(\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*) / (\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*) + \hbar \mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*))^k \right)$; *let us set*

$$\text{ad}_{\hbar}(\rho)(x) := \{\rho, x\}_{\hbar},$$

then the exponential $\exp(\text{ad}_{\hbar}(\rho))$ is a well-defined continuous algebra automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^)$;*

(4) *We have $\rho \in \hbar^2 U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{d})$, so $\exp\left(\frac{\rho}{\hbar}\right)$ is a well-defined element of $1 + \hbar U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{d})$. Let $\text{Ad}\left(\exp\left(\frac{\rho}{\hbar}\right)\right)$ be the inner automorphism $x \mapsto \exp\left(\frac{\rho}{\hbar}\right)x\exp\left(\frac{\rho}{\hbar}\right)^{-1}$ of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{d})$. Then $\text{Ad}\left(\exp\left(\frac{\rho}{\hbar}\right)\right)$ restricts to an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*)$, which coincides with $\exp(\text{ad}_{\hbar}(\rho))$.*

PROOF.

(1) $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*)$ is a subalgebra of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{d})$, and its reduction modulo \hbar is commutative, so for $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*)$, $[x, y] \in \hbar \mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*)$, i.e., $\{x, y\}_{\hbar} \in \mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*)$.

(2) Let $\varepsilon : \mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*) \rightarrow k[[\hbar]]$ be the augmentation map. If $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*)$, then $\varepsilon([x, y]) = 0$. So $\hbar \varepsilon(\{x, y\}_{\hbar}) = 0$, i.e., $\varepsilon(\{x, y\}_{\hbar}) = 0$, so $\{x, y\}_{\hbar} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*)$. Therefore $\{\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*), \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*)\} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*)$. Applying the Leibniz rule, we get (2).

(3) The first part is contained in [Ga]. The second part follows from (2): $\rho \in \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*)^2$, therefore $\text{ad}_{\hbar}(\rho) ((\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*)^k) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*)^{k+1}$ for any k .

(4) If $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*)$, then $x - \varepsilon(x) \in \hbar U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{d})$. If, in addition, $x \in \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*)$, then $\varepsilon(x) = 0$ so $x \in \hbar U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{d})$. So $\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*) \subset \hbar U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{d})$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}(D^*)^2 \subset \hbar^2 U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{d})$. Let us now show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{d}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}(\exp(\frac{\rho}{\hbar}))} & U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{d}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*) & \xrightarrow{\exp(\text{ad}_{\hbar}(\rho))} & \mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*) \end{array} \quad (3.7)$$

commutes. This means that we have the identity (in $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{d})$):

$$\exp(\text{ad}_{\hbar}(\rho))(x) = \exp\left(\frac{\rho}{\hbar}\right)x\exp\left(\frac{\rho}{\hbar}\right)^{-1},$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*)$. To show this identity, let us introduce a parameter t and show the same identity, where ρ is replaced by $t\rho$. This means that we have the identity

$$\exp(\text{ad}_{\hbar}(t\rho))(x) = \exp\left(\frac{t\rho}{\hbar}\right)x\exp\left(\frac{t\rho}{\hbar}\right)^{-1}.$$

This last identity follows from the fact that its two sides coincide when $t = 0$, and that they both satisfy the differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = \text{ad}_{\hbar}(\rho)(x(t)).$$

It follows that the diagram (3.7) commutes. Therefore the map $\text{Ad}(\exp(\frac{\rho}{\hbar}))$ restricts to an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\hbar}(D^*)$, which coincides with $\exp(\text{ad}_{\hbar}(\rho))$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank F. Gavarini for discussions on the subject of this work. We also would like to thank P. Cartier for suggesting that Theorem 0.1 is formally analogous to the oscillatory integrals formalism.

References

- [Bo] Bourbaki N. “Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 1–3.” Translated from the French. Reprint of the 1975 edition. Elements of Mathematics. *Springer-Verlag*, Berlin, 1989.
- [Dr] Drinfeld V. “Quantum groups”, *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI* **1, 2** (1987), 798–820.

- [Ga] Gavarini F. “The quantum duality principle”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **52** (2002), no. 3, 1-26.
- [GH] Gavarini F., Halbout G. “Tressages des groupes de Poisson formels à dual quasitriangulaire”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **161** (2001), no. 3, 295–307.
- [Re] Reshetikhin N. “Quasitriangularity of quantum groups at roots of 1”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **170** (1995), 79–99.
- [WX] Weinstein A., Xu P. “Classical Solutions of the Quantum Yang-Baxter Equation”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **148** (1992), 309–343.